

**CSEC101b**

*1/27/05*

# N people to fund a project

- Examples
  - Set up a web-business
  - Create a shared facility: lab, computer, router,...
- The model
- $I = 1, \dots, N$
- $u^i(y) - p^i$ ,  $u$  concave,  $y \geq 0$
- $\sum p^i = C(y)$ ,  $C$  convex

# What is Optimal?

- Max  $\sum u^i(y) - p^i$   
Subject to  $\sum p^i \geq C(y)$
- Or max  $(\sum u^i(y)) - C(y)$
- FOC:  $du^i(y^*)/dy = dC(y^*)/dy$
- SOC: concavity and convexity
- $p^i$  can be anything such that  $\sum p^i \geq C(y)$

# Example

- $u^i(y) = a^i \ln(y)$
- $C(y) = Ky$
- Optimal?
- $\sum (a^i/y) = K$  or
- $y^* = (\sum a^i)/K$

# Raise \$

- Each  $i$  contributes  $c^i$  and then  $y = (\sum c^i)/K$
- What is the Nash Equilibrium?
- Best reply?
- Max  $u^i(\sum c^i/K) - c^i$  implies
$$[du^i(\sum c^i /K)/dc^i][1/K]= 1$$
- Let  $y^{*i}$  solve  $du^i(y)/dy = K$
- Then the best reply is  $c^i = y^{*i} - \sum_{-i} c^k$

# The Nash Equilibrium

- Suppose  $c^1 > c^i$  for all  $j \neq 1$ .
- The Nash equilibrium is
$$c^j = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq 1$$
$$c^1 = y^{*1}$$
- This is not optimal.
- Let's try something else.

# Pay what it is worth

- Let each  $j$  pay a price of  $q^j$  per unit of  $y$ .
- If we set the  $q^j$  such that

$$q^j = [du^j(y^*)/dy] = a^j/y^*$$

$$\text{and } \sum q^j = K$$

Then if they take prices as given each  $j$  will want  $y$  to be chosen such that

$$a^j / y = q^j \text{ or } a^j / y = a^j / y^* \text{ or } y = y^*.$$

And since  $\sum q^j = \sum du^j(y^*)/dy = K$ , this is optimal.

- The prices  $q$  are called Lindahl equilibrium prices.

# What process do we use?

- How do we compute the prices?
  - Suppose the fund-raiser knows the form of the utility functions but does not know  $a$ .
  - Ask for  $a^j$  and then let  $q^j = a^j / y^* = K a^j / (\sum a^k)$
- Note: This is like central planning.
  - If we know  $a$ , we can compute  $y^*$  and payments  $q^j / y^*$  for each  $j$ .
- Will everyone want to tell us what their  $a^j$  is?
  - If they were a computer they would, but.....



# Revelation of information?

- Person  $j$  knows the process and knows that if they say  $m$  and the others say  $m$ , then person  $j$  will get

$$a^j \ln(\sum m^k/K) - [K m^j / (\sum m^k)] (\sum m^k/K)$$

- Maximizing this implies that
$$[a^j/(\sum m^k/K)](1/K) - 1 = 0$$
- Or  $a^j = (\sum m^k)$  for all  $j$
- This is impossible!

# The Nash Equilibrium

- So an interior equilibrium does not exist.
- As before  $m^{*k} = 0$  for all  $k$  but 1 and  
 $m^{*1} = a^1$
- This is not good.
- Is there anyway we can get every  $k$  to tell us their true value of  $a$ ?

# Change the game

- Varian changed the game tree.
- Let's see what happens if we change the payoff functions.
- We do that by changing the payment rules.
- Let each  $j$  announce their “parameter”  $m^j$ .
- $y^* = \sum m^k / K$
- $T^i(m) = m^i - \sum_{-j} a^j \ln(\sum m^k / \sum_{-j} m^k)$
- Note that  $T^i = 0$  if  $m^i = 0$

# What is the Nash Equilibrium?

- Best reply? Maximize

$$a^i \ln (\sum m^k / K) - [m^i - \sum_{-j} m^j \ln (\sum m^k / \sum_{-j} m^k )]$$

- FOC

- $a^i / (\sum m^k) - 1 + (\sum_{-i} m^j) / (\sum m^k) = 0$

- Or  $a^i + (\sum_{-i} m^j) = (\sum m^k)$

- Or  $a^i = m^i !$

- “Truth is a (weakly) dominant strategy.”

# Generalization: Vickrey-Groves-Clarke

- Payoffs:  $u^i(y; a^i) - p^i$
- Ask for  $m^i$  (hoping it is  $= a^i$ )
- Let  $y^*(m)$  maximize  $\sum [u^i(y; m^i) - (1/N)Ky]$
- Let  $T^i(m) = (K/N)y(m)$ 
  - $\sum_{-i} [u^k(y(m); m^k) - (1/N)Ky(m)]$
  - +  $\max \sum_{-i} [u^k(y; m^k) - (1/N)Ky]$

# Proof of Incentive Compatibility

- $j$  will want  $y$  to maximize
$$u^j(y, a^j) - \left\{ (K/N)y - \sum_{-i} [u^k(y; m^k) - (1/N)Ky] + \max \sum_{-i} [u^k(y; m^k) - (1/N)Ky] \right\}$$

Or  $u^j(y, a^j) + [\sum_{-i} u^k(y; m^k)] - Ky + F$

- The algorithm maximizes
$$\sum u^k(y; m^k) - Ky$$
- So  $m^j = a^j$

# Possible Problems

- Efficiency in resource use.

$$\sum T^j(m) = K y(m)?$$

- Generally not.  $T^j(m) > (1/N)Ky(m)$ .
- There are other processes that are not “optimal” in the choice of  $y$  but which are efficient in resource use and which are Pareto-superior to VGC.
  - Majority Rule is one.

# Majority rule

- $u = a \ln(y) - p, C(y)$
- Propose a series of  $y$ 's until we find a  $y'$  such that there is no other  $y$  that a majority prefer. Each  $j$  pays  $(K/N)y'$ .
- Let  $y^j$  solve  $\max a^j \ln y - (K/N) y$ .
- What is the majority rule equilibrium?



# Median Voter theorem

- Let  $y'$  be the median  $\{y^1, \dots, y^N\}$ .
- Theorem: If the  $u$  are concave, then  $y'$  is the majority rule equilibrium. (If  $N$  is odd and  $u$  are strictly concave, it is unique.)
- Proof:

# Incentives

- A direct mechanism: report  $a$  and the mechanism picks the median.
- Theorem: Truth is a dominant strategy
- Revelation Principle
- Corollary: It is dominant strategy to vote your true preferences.

# Observation

- There are parameters  $a$  for which  
$$\sum [u^i (y') - p'^i] > [\sum u^i (y^*) - p^{*i}]$$

Even though

$$\text{Max } \sum u^i (y') - C(y') < \sum u^i (y^*) - C(y^*)$$

Because  $\sum p'^i = C(y) < \sum p^{*i}$